UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/728,904 | 12/08/2003 | Haru Ando | GOTO.0008 | 9309 | |
| 38327 REED SMITH | 7590 11/09/200 L.L.P | 7 | EXAMINER | | |
| 3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042 | | | MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A | | |
| FALLS CHUR | .CH, VA 22042 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3714 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/09/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| î | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 10/728,904 | ANDO ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Timothy Musselman | 3714 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | ; |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid abandor idavit, or other evidence, wo compliance with 37 CFR 4 | which 1.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). | of the fee. The appropriate e inally set in the final Office ac te of the final rejection, even | extension fee ction; or (2) as if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the ap | peal. Since |
| AMENDMENTS | the state of the s | 20 - 4 6 4 4 6 | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | 33063 101 |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | empliant Amendment (PTC |)L-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | , | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wipvided below or appended. | II be entered and an expla | nation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is neo | cessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fails to see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attached. | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowance t | pecause: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | | Romald Aenes | ~ |
| | | RONALD LANEAU | |

Application No. 10/728,904

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, but a response is not proper at this time because they pertain in their entirety to the claims as presented in an amendment that has not been entered, and additional searching would be required.